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Reviewer's report:

Placing this study in the context of the Theory of Planned Behavior provided a compelling framework/rationale for a survey of the public’s view. I am glad that you found this feedback helpful. You certainly did a nice job of integrating it into the manuscript.

Minor essential edits--ADDITIONS ARE IN CAPS.

Abstract--Conclusions... Insert AMONG THE PUBLIC, The high acceptability of universal perinatal mental HEALTH screening among the public

Background--second paragraph...EmpircAL testing.....

Fourth paragraph: Indeed, the stigma associated with having a perinatal mental health problem and receiving treatment in the perinatal period (is) identified as a major determinant.

Methods
First paragraph might have the title: Survey Instrument. Then create a second subheading for Survey Procedures.

The part labeled "Definitions of Selected Variables" seems odd. How about "Outcomes" Also I think this information might be more succinctly summarized in a table

Results: the first subheading might be participants

Discussion: I thought that the Discussion was too long for a simple survey of this type. I would encourage you to synthesize the main findings. As is, it meanders.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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