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Dear Dr Norton,

Thank you very much for your consideration and request for a revised version of our manuscript entitled “Intraumbilical injection of misoprostol versus normal saline in the management of retained placenta”. We have copy and pasted all editors’ comments below, and address each one individually. We have made every attempt to incorporate these suggestions as thoroughly as possible.

“Comment 1”

1. Textual overlap - We note that there is some sentences in your manuscript are taken directly from the following published work…….
   Please rephrase these sentences, or include them as direct quotations with the appropriate reference.

“Response”

We have already rephrased some of the sentences and for all of them an appropriate reference number was added.

“Comment 2”

2. Trial registration - Please note that we only accept registration numbers issued by registries that meet all of the ICMJE criteria. Once you know your trial registration number, please submit a revised version of your manuscript with the number included in the abstract. The last section of the abstract should be Trial Registration: listing the trial registry and the unique identifying number.

“Response”

The trial was registered retrospectively in the clinicalTrial.gov and the number was included in the abstract: ClinicalTrial.gov: NCT01840813

“Comment 3”

3. Copyediting - After reading through your manuscript, we feel that the quality of written
English needs to be improved before the manuscript can be considered further. We advise you to seek the assistance of a fluent English speaking colleague, or to have a professional editing service correct your language. Please ensure that particular attention is paid to the abstract. For authors who wish to have the language in their manuscript edited by a native-English speaker with scientific expertise, BioMed Central recommends Edanz (http://www.edanzediting.com/bmc1).

“Response”

After your kind advise to improve the quality of written English, we contact Edans editing company and asked for their help, and they thankfully added a very much help for improving the language and some times changing the phrases inorder to add a better quality for the manuscript.

“Comment 4”

4. Acknowledgements? Please acknowledge anyone who contributed towards the study by making substantial contributions to conception, design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data, or who was involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content, but who does not meet the criteria for authorship. Please also include their source(s) of funding.

“Response”

Aknowledgment section was added to the manuscript including the name of who contributed to the study (helping in analysis and interpretation of data). The authors obtained permission to acknowledge from all those mentioned in the Acknowledgements. The authors declare that the study was not supported by any grants.

Please address all correspondence to:

shahla_alaf@yahoo.com, 009647504480711

We look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.

Yours sincerely,

Shahla Alalaf, Assistant Prof.
Hawler Medical University
College of Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology