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**Reviewer's report:**

**Major Compulsory Revisions**

1. Results, Website characteristics as predictor of content scores (p.10). The statement “…the Lagrange multiplier test was not significant meaning that over-dispersion did not occur” seems premature. With the small samples size, it’s likely to find the dispersion parameter non-significant even if data are actually over-dispersed. Phrases such as “over-dispersion may not be a concern” would be more appropriate.

2. Table 4. The confidence intervals (CI) in Table 4 remain the same as in the previous version. If Pearson’s Chi-squared statistic was applied to account for over-dispersion, the CI’s ought to be wider. It the adjustment was not used, this needs be indicated. Please clarify or update.

3. Results, Website characteristics as predictor of content scores (p.10). The CI in “…with UK websites reporting 88% higher scores (95% CI 26-240) than USA websites (Table 4)” is not consistent with CI in Table 4 (1.88 (1.24-2.86)). The numbers in Table 4 seem to suggest “88% higher scores (95% CI 24-186) than USA websites.” Please verify in accordance with the response to Comment #2.
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