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Reviewer’s report:

Major Compulsory Revisions
1. Methods – Assumptions about Poisson regression. An assumption about Poisson distribution is that mean equals variance. Results in the section of “Comparison of website clinical data to gold standard” seem to suggest overdispersion (mean 42.4 vs. variance = square of 23.8 for VBAC & mean 44.8 vs. variance = square of 25.0 for ERCS). If deviance or Pearson’s statistic, or something else, was used to handle over-dispersion, the authors should indicate this explicitly in Methods section.

Minor Essential Revisions
1. Methods, Internet search method, “...The search process is outlined in Figure 1 (Figure 1).” Delete the redundant “(Figure 1)”.
2. Main data, Search Results, “the search terms outlined in Table 1 (Table 1).” Delete the redundant “(Table 1)”.
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