I would like to congratulate the authors on their paper. The question posed by the authors is well defined, understandable and the methods used to answer the question appropriate. However, I feel the paper needs some minor clarification prior to publication.

Minor Essential Revisions

Abstract
1. Abstract: Background section; Line 37: Since this was a cohort study; the authors should described incidences instead of prevalence.
2. Abstract conclusion: The conclusion should focus on the main findings of the study and not on the recommendations.

Methods: Definitions of morbidity categories
3. Paragraph Tree; Line 162: the authors should briefly describe the kind of injuries excluded by IMPAC. Were these Obstetrics injuries?

Data Analysis
4. Paragraph 1 Line 194: The authors should tell the readers the Chi square test used since they had more than two groups of morbidity categories.
5. Paragraph Four Line 242: The authors should Insert one between at least and antenatal.

Discussion: main findings;

6. Paragraph one; Line 281: the authors should replace that with the so that the sentence reads ---- while parity was the only factor that was significantly---------

Discussion Interpretation

7. Paragraph Three Line 302: Again the authors are reporting prevalence instead of incidence.

8. Paragraph four: Line 317-319. Since the paper is on morbidity, can the authors find relevant literature on morbidity to back up this finding instead of using literature on mortality?

Strengths and limitations

9. Paragraph Two; Line 359: The authors should replace prevalence with incidence.
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