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Reviewer's report:

I believe my previous comments have been sufficiently addressed. The issue of varying time at risk according to defect type in table 4, may be of less importance in this cohort of 13 year survivors.

Minor Essential Revisions:
1: First line in Results section: Rephrase. It now appears that a prevalence at birth is describes, when in fact it is a prevalence at age 13 years.

2: Methods: Description of the logistic regression model states that defect types were controlled for in the comparison of men with, and without CHD. It is unclear to me how adjustment for defect types was done, when this characteristic was only present in one of the compared groups (i.e. men with CHD). Please clarify.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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