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Reviewer’s report:

- Major Compulsory Revisions
  Try and include all the inclusion and exclusion criteria in a table or a chart. This will definitely help the reader to get a clear picture of it. At the moment the information given is lengthy and is all over the place.
  Organize the content with the help of sections and sub-sections (use numbers like 2.1, 2.2.1 and so on)
  An user interface to manage CTG recordings and the clinical information would definitely help the user.

- Minor Essential Revisions
  page (2): First sentence: .. the database and reason behind.............
  page (3): 2nd paragraph, last sentence: ........ morphological features played................
  page (4): line No (9): ...did not include the work of H. Ocak [27].................

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.

Declaration of competing interests:

I declare that I have no competing interests