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Reviewer’s report:

The paper has been considerably improved and now reads with more clarity. I believe it should now be accepted for publication.

Major compulsory revisions - these have been fully addressed by the authors

Minor essential revisions - these have been fully addressed by the authors

Discretionary revisions - the paper would be improved with some comment in the discussion in relation to more severe perineal tears (3rd and 4th degree), and also perhaps to highlight that inductions did not increase CS rate (the increase in CS rate in the expectant management group was largely due to pre-labour CS - could this have reflected a reason why this group of women could not be inducted, or is this unknown from the database?)

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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