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Author's response to reviews:

January 5, 2014

Dear Dr. Van den Akker,

We are resubmitting a revised manuscript about the CS retrospective record review in five countries. We appreciate the thoughtful comments and suggestions from the reviewers. Our response to each reviewer comments have also been submitted.

We have reduced the total word count from 4,925 to 4,552. We have noted in the response to reviewers where cuts were made based on their comments and recommendations.

The paper has been retitled: Assessing the quality of record keeping for cesarean deliveries: results from a multicenter retrospective record review in five low-income countries

Please let me know if you need any further information.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Landry
Deputy Director
Fistula Care
EngenderHealth