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Response to reviewers and editorial team for the paper- “Stakeholder views on the incorporation of Traditional Birth Attendants into the formal health systems of low- and middle-income countries: A qualitative analysis of the HIFA2015 and CHILD2015 email discussion forums”

Dear Editors,

Thank you for the opportunity to revise our manuscript MS: 9464145991103385, entitled “Stakeholder views on the incorporation of Traditional Birth Attendants into the formal health systems of low- and middle-income countries: A qualitative analysis of the HIFA2015 and CHILD2015 email discussion forums”

We have addressed your comments as outlined below and highlighted the editions in the text of the document so you can find the corrections easily. We look forward to your response. Thank you for considering our revised manuscript.

Sincerely,
Onikepe Owolabi

Responses to editor’s comments

1. Please include lists of Abbreviations used

We have included a list of abbreviations after the conclusion section as indicated in the formatting for authors section on your website you referred us to.

2. Competing Interests

We had previously included a declaration under the competing interests section in our manuscript. We have added one line after our declaration to indicate that other than what we have written we have no other competing interests.

3. Permission to reproduce figure 1.


The figure itself did not appear in that paper and is therefore not copyrighted.