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Reviewer’s report:

For Authors

I enjoyed reading your paper. The topic is indeed an important one. I have made a number of minor recommendations for your team to consider.

Minor Essential Revisions

P4: Background
The first paragraph has a number of minor grammatical errors that need correction
2nd sentence – remove ‘is’
USA and UK – should be in full when first used
Last sentence remove the ‘in’ in from of Singapore – Sentence requires a little rewording.. something like… Only two studies, conducted in Australia and Singapore, measured expressing over time. Both reported an increase xxxx

P7: Methods
Last paragraph
Should be sick and/or premature infants
Rerword sentence commencing with ‘Figure 1’… Figure 1 presents a summary of all articles obtained from the literature search using the PRISMA guidelines (ref).

P8: Results
Remove the reference to figure 1 – not required
P9: 2nd paragraph needs rewording… commencing with Binns etc
P12: reword the last sentence or two – confusing

P16: Discussion
Mid discussion is a definition of the let down reflex. I understand the link and argument you are trying to make here but this may not be clear to novice reader. I’d suggest you just reword here to make the point clearer.

Best wishes with this and future work
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