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**Reviewer’s report:**

1) The question posed by the authors is well defined;
2) the methods are appropriate and well described;
3) the manuscript adheres to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition;
4) the discussion and conclusions are well balanced and adequately supported by the data;
5) these guidelines are dedicated to midwives, so there aren't real limitations but only the inevitable lack of data regarding the diagnostic approach (e.g. Mnemonic: 4T's), I recommend to specify this concept.
6) the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building;
7) the title and abstract accurately convey what has been described;
8) the writing is acceptable after minor Discretionary Revisions.

**Level of interest:** An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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