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Reviewer’s report:

Thank for the opportunity to review this paper which has been resubmitted following reviews.

The authors have done a good job of addressing the questions and critique I made in my first review.

Minor revisions

My only questions remain in relation to the description of data analysis of the qualitative data. This is still too brief - almost non existent. I agree now that the reporting of the findings have been further developed that it is appropriate to call this a thematic analysis. However I cannot see in the methods section where the authors have described in detail the approach to the analysis of qualitative data.
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