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**Reviewer's report:**

The revised version of the paper is clear, precise and well-written. I have read it a couple of times and don't have any further comments to make. The research question is clear now and the flow of the article, to my mind, leads the reader to the conclusions that the authors are making. There are a number of limitations to the paper which have been indicated too.

Overall I feel that this paper is suitable to publish if it is felt that it fits with the journal's content and style and is felt to be of interest to the readers.
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**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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