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Reviewer's report:

1. My major concern with the paper is the interpretation of odd ratios. Author/s claim that “men who were educated at secondary level were slightly more likely…..”. This is not true and is not supported by the Table. Rather the result in all the Tables show that men with such level of education consistently has a less likelihood ……. Note any OR less than 1 is interpreted as less likelihood. Authors advised to revisit their basic statistics.

2. That education has a lower likelihood cannot be explained by any logic. Rather I suspect there is problem of multicollinearity as education is likely to be correlated with income. This could be the cause of this finding. I suggest that the authors rerun the analysis using stepwise model to be able to capture whether education or income is the key factor. Adding the two variables in a model will obscure the relationship. How can author tell the world that education has no effect in men’s behavior in Nepal?

3. Authors did not tell us why this study is important considering what we know about the topic; why it is important in Nepal. Are there cultural dynamics in Nepal that warrant this study?

4. Generally, there are many grammatical errors and hence I suggest a thorough proofreading before resubmitting.

5. Finally, I will be particularly interested in seeing the revised version to ascertain whether proper interpretation of Odd Ratios are done.