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Reviewer's report:

Title:
Struggling with daily life and enduring pain: A qualitative study of women`s experiences with pelvic girdle pain during pregnancy.
Reviewer: Eva Haukeland Fredriksen.
Reviewer`s report on version 2:
The paper has improved, and the authors have answered my points well. A few minor essential revisions have to be done before publication.

Minor Essential Revisions
• In the background section, the authors write that PGP has important physical, psychological and socioeconomic implications. Which are these implications? I advise the authors to present the physical and psychological implications in the background section as a framework for the investigation of women`s experiences of living with PGP.

• The authors use the term “objective manner” to describe their analysis process (see page 13, second paragraph). Since the concept of objectivity has connotations to quantitative research, I would suggest choosing another word in this context. Perhaps “balanced” is better?

• The authors use the terms “actions” and “associated categories” to describe their grounded theory in the abstract. But in their conceptual model, they use the terms “actions” and “consequences”. This is confusing, and needs to be corrected.

• From my point of view, table 1 is not necessary. The information in this table can easily be inserted into the text in one or two sentences.
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