Reviewer's report

Title: The quality of antenatal care in rural Tanzania: what is behind the number of visits?

Version: 2 Date: 21 May 2012

Reviewer: Bettina Utz

Reviewer's report:

Thank you for sending the revised version of the article.

I still consider the ethical issues raised by the reviewers valid, as the study has been approved only by local authorities (SFDDH) and not by the national ethical committee (e.g. NIMR).

Minor revision:
I think one of the sentences in "Data analysis" section needs more clarification, as it is not clear to the reader what is meant by this sentence: "Although blood....it was logically assumed ..how a woman felt to be assessed."

Minor revision:
"Limitations" section: Last sentence needs more clarification: "satisfaction" term posed potential bias- what type of bias could be involved?

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.