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Reviewer's report:

General comment

I was asked to review this paper as BMC statistical referee. This is an interesting and well-analyzed study with important public health implications. I have only (very) minor comments.

Major Compulsory Revisions

None

Minor Essential Revisions

P2 and elsewhere: "borne from"-> "born from"

P2 conclusion and elsewhere: I would write "lower odds" instead of "decreased odds" as this is a cross-sectional study.

P4 Please report the number of children excluded from the study, i.e., those < 6 months and those over 36 months. This is clearly irrelevant for the present analysis but will allow the reader to get a better description of your study population.

P5 I suppose that the choice of a p < 0.15 was made following the recommendations of Greenland. If so, add ref [11] (or other) at the end of the corresponding passage.

Discretionary Revisions

Tables 1 and 2 could report confidence intervals (uncorrected for the number of tests in Table 1) even if it is the p-value that determines consideration for further modeling.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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