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Reviewer's report:

I want to thank the reviewers for their answers on the suggestions made. It is much clearer now that this paper is a first step in the process. I look forward to the next step of the tool development.

I have one minor question, related to one of the previous questions:

The answer of the authors on question 3 of reviewer 2 was: This is a tentative hypothesis based on available literature and in the discussion section we noted the need for research that examines relationships between quality of prenatal care and a variety of outcomes.

I think it would be good to refer to this literature.
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