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Reviewer’s report:

This is a very worthy paper. The methodology, the results and the discussion are scholarly and contribute to the literature on immigrant women. I have few comments.

Major Compulsory Revisions
1. Ensure that Groleau’s study is properly cited in the text as Groleau et al.

2. I am concerned about the inclusion of the article by Condon et al. The article looks at minorities (more than immigrants). I have not read the article and would like to understand why the authors included the article in their analysis. Are these minorities who are immigrants as well? If so then in Table 2 there needs to be some mention of that.

3. I would recommend that the authors identify the host countries in the same way they identify the immigrant groups in the paper.

4. In the discussion of the results it would be useful for the authors to be consistent in the format they present the study being discussed. In some cases the author, the migrant group and the host country are mentioned. In others only the author is mentioned. The former format is useful for the reader and should be followed throughout the paper.

5. The authors need to be consistent in their use of Latino or Hispanic. I am sure the articles are not consistent, but it is better to use Latino than Hispanic.

6. I think the paper would benefit if there is at some point in either the introduction or conclusion a clear statement that the migrant experience is not universal. The article gives the impression that the authors feel that migrants are all the same and that they are not differentiated by class, education, etc. So in the conclusion instead of saying that “migrant women experience numerous challenges to breastfeeding” say something like in the studies being considered in this paper it seems that migrant women experience numerous challenges to breastfeeding.

Minor Essential Revisions
7. Minor editing is required

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field
Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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