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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions

Table 1: Rather than bed capacity, annual delivery rates will be more informative.

Results
Authors reported other methods of delivery as vacuum extraction (0.9%), assisted breech delivery and forceps. Authors need to comment about the strong possibility of mis-classification in these cases.

Tables 6 and 7
It will be more logical to use the following groups as reference groups in the multivariate logistic regression:
Age group: 25 - 29 years
Parity: 2 - 4

Discussion
Authors employed occupation as proxy for socio-economic classification. Most classification systems typically combine occupation and level of education. Authors need to include this as a further limitation or weakness of the study.

Discretionary revisions
Page 7, first line: 'borders' not boarders'

Discussion
Page 15, line 5 under Discussion: 'place of delivery' not 'place delivery'

References
Refernce number 2: Use proper journal abbreviation.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
Declaration of competing interests:

I declare that I have no competing interests