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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Reviewers
We appreciated your valuable comments on the paper and all your comments and suggestion have been incorporated in the revised version of the manuscript.
Response to reviewer # 1 Thomas van den Akker
Weakness
The Language has been edited one of the English native and edited by Edanz Group Limited as suggested.
Methodology
95% confidence interval has been added to the results as suggested.
Please, see table 4.
Abstract
Conclusion has been edited and clarified as suggested.
The objective has been clearly formulated in the introduction as suggested.
Please, see the button of the introduction.
The responses to the rest of the comments in attached file have been made.
Response to Reviewer # 2 Jeroen van Dillen
The period of the study has been stated more clearly in months.
Please, see abstract and method.
Consistency has been followed all over the paper concerning digit.
Limitation of the study has been inserted (single center and over reporting due to accumulation of severe cases. Please see the button of the discussion.
The title has been changed as suggested. Please, see it now.
We faced difficulty in combining table 1 and 3 because one is concerning deaths and the other about near-miss.
Discussion has been started as “This is the first report of maternal near-miss in
Sudan” as suggested please, see discussion
The elaboration of single center has been inserted in the discussion as requested. Please, see the last paragraph of the discussion.
Data on lack of antennal care and illiteracy were compared to as suggested. Please, see button of page 7 in the discussion.
Response to the Major compulsory
English language has been corrected.
Table 4 has been corrected and confidence interval has been added as suggested.
Please, see table 4.
No seasonal variation has been identified for the infection.
The absence of audit and substandard care has been inserted in the discussion as suggested. Please, see 3-6 lines in page 7 in the discussion.
All these changes have been highlighted to be traced easily.
Best Regards