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Reviewer’s report:

Manuscript reads much better but I have a few more mandatory revisions.
1) I would change the title to “Identifying postpartum intervention approaches to prevent type 2 diabetes in women with a history of gestational diabetes”.
2) Is the abstract the appropriate number of words? The results section of the abstract is confusing. Line 2 of results could be changed to, “...their schedules and 15 completed informant interviews by phone” (like pg.6, par.2, last 2 lines). Themes from the focus groups should be included here and then you could suggest that “Informant interviews agreed....” or suggested this...” “Cited barriers were identified from both methods......” Under “Conclusion: Delete “In our study,” and start with “Time constraints....” (saves on words).
3) Delete “(type 2 diabetes)” from “Background”, line 4.
4) Pg. 4, line 1, put quotations around “window of opportunity”
5) Pg. 9, 2nd par, line 1-2. 7/15 is 47%? Should be changed to “Almost half”?
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