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Dear the BMC Series Editorial Production Team,

We have reviewed the manuscript based on your suggestions, as follows:

1. **Editor comment:**
   Footnotes: We are unable to accommodate footnotes in the full text version of the manuscript. We suggest that you incorporate the footnotes into the text of the manuscript. Alternatively, you may wish to make an appendix section containing your footnotes.

   **Authors’ response:**
   The footnote has been removed and has been incorporated into the text of the manuscript, as suggested.

   “The 2007 IDHS reported that in West Java province only 84% of mothers attended at least four antenatal services (tabulation was performed using the 2007 IDHS dataset [30]) and only 65% of mothers attended a postnatal service within two days of delivery [28].” (Introduction section, page 5-6)

2. **Editor comment:**
   Affiliations: Please remember to include the city in the affiliation details.

   **Authors’ response:**
   Information about the city has been included in each author’s affiliation details.

3. **Editor comment:**
   Highlighting/tracking: Please remove all highlighting and tracking from the manuscript.

   **Authors’ response:**
   The highlighting has been removed from the manuscript.

4. **Editor comment:**
   References: please provide the full citation details for references 3, 7 and 13. You can view the BMC reference guide at the following link

   **Authors’ response:**
   The references have been revised according to the BMC reference guide.

5. **Editor comment:**
Tables: please note that we are unable to correctly display merged cells where the merged cell crosses rows: please re-layout your table without these merged elements.

Authors’ response:
Table 1 has been revised, as suggested.

6. Editor comment:
Typography: Please take this opportunity to check your manuscript for any typographical errors and to make any final corrections or revisions. This is the final proofing stage for your manuscript, and you will not be able to make any changes after acceptance.

Authors’ response:
The manuscript has been checked thoroughly as suggested.

We hope these responses meet your requirements.

Yours sincerely,

Christiana Titaley