Reviewer's report

Title: Multiple Causes for Delay in Arrival at Hospital in Acute Stroke Patients in Aydin, Turkey

Version: 2 Date: 19 November 2007

Reviewer: Julie J Zerwic

Reviewer's report:

General

The quality of the English in the original manuscript was actually quite good; however in the revisions that were made the structure of the English is not as clear. Therefore, the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments/suggestions continue to be problematic. For example, Both reviewers asked the authors to clarify why they were only able to enroll 98 subjects when 302 seemed to be eligible. The authors' response to this is not clear.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Please review comments made in prior review.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Unable to decide on acceptance or rejection until the authors have responded to the major compulsory revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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