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Reviewer's report:

General
I have now had a chance to peruse both manuscripts and agree that the authors have satisfactorily responded to my criticisms and suggestions.

In my opinion, both papers are now suitable for publication.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept without revision

Quality of written English: Acceptable