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Reviewer's report:

General

I do appreciate that the authors made a considerable effort to accommodate the reviewer's comments. However, in my opinion the main weakness of the study is the lack of a control condition (handwriting performance in the presence of visual feedback). Unfortunately the authors could not rectify this problem in the revision. Consequently my assessment of the manuscript remains unchanged, i.e. in the present form the study's findings are inconclusive, and thus do not merit publication.

What next?: Reject because scientifically unsound

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable
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