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Reviewer's report:

General
I have just two minor comments:
4d. add in the text that the questionnaire included all diagnostic criteria for CH as described in the IHS Classification but it was not validated.

6a. Modify the sentence in: “In general, a prospective study which includes patients before the removal of an eye, follows them over years and then compares the outcome to a control group (i.e., a cohort study) would be more effective for the study of a disease’s risk factors.”

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No