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Reviewer's report:

General

Data on the 5 females with significant findings are not reported (or am I reading Table 2 improperly)?

Table 2 legend is no longer completely accurate since no statistics were done on the breakdown (that no statistics were done is fine, as I indicated previously, but some attention needed to be paid to the legend).

I am also seeing new typos (e.g., new first sentence [Background] of abstract).

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

What next?: Reject because scientifically unsound

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No
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