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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Reviewers and Editors,

We have made the necessary formatting changes as detailed by the editorial office.

In the second draft, we had added the table with Missing data information at the reviewer's request, but since it is a descriptive study we did not feel that it was appropriate to add ORs and 95% Confidence intervals to the missing data table. We appreciate the reviewer's most recent comment and we have removed the table with missing data and p values, and instead modified Table 4 to include the symptoms and outcome by age with three categories (yes, no, and missing). Text have been altered accordingly to present this data. Rather than responses with missing assumed to be no, missing responses are presented as a separate response category.

In response to the reviewer's question about the numbers and percentages changing in Table 4: The numbers and percentages have changed in the first half of the table because it only includes the subset of individuals with complete answers. The second set has the same percentages as in the original draft, but when reviewing the dataset, minor corrections to the actual numbers were made, and the missing data section was added.

Thank you to all for the useful commentary.

Regards,

Lianna Ishihara et al