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Reviewer's report:

Minor Essential Revisions

1. Corticoreticular pathway injury exists in what kind of patients? Why is author interested in the mechanism of it?

2. The sequence number in the Case Presentation part is wrong.

3. What’s the cause of the spontaneous ICH?

4. What kind of medicine has been used during the six-month post onset?

5. What’s the function of TMS in this study, needs to be clarified.

6. How to get the conclusion that “recovery via transcallosal fibers might be associated with poorer motor outcome than recovery via the normally existing neural pathway of the CRP”? As authors did not compare two outcomes in the current study, it seemed to a comparison with a previous study.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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