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Reviewer's report:

This is an interesting paper. Some comments:

1) There needs to be a thorough discussion about the modified EGOS predictors and how your results aligned or didn't align with these. How was your study different (e.g. 12 month follow-up vs. 6 mos) This is critical. Does this paper add to the literature or simply confirm what we know. And there should be a discussion on why it matters (it does). Thanks.

2) I'd recommend a better explanation of "severity at admission" and a discussion of the limitations of this definition. Was this based solely on muscle strength?

3) "Genres" should be "genders" and "revision" should be "revisits." And "posterior revision" is what? Last visit?