Reviewer’s report

**Title:** Rate of deep-vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism during the care continuum in patients with acute ischemic stroke in the United States

**Version:** 2  **Date:** 11 January 2013

**Reviewer:** Timothy Kleinig

**Reviewer's report:**

The authors have largely responded appropriately to the suggestions, but the article's conclusion remains too strong. That is, in the abstract, '(t)his highlights the need for sustained thromboprophylaxis prescribing across the continuum of care' (repeated nearly verbatim in the conclusion.)

An appropriate conclusion would be 'Our study is potentially consistent with inadequate frequency and duration of 'real-world' post-stroke thromboprophylaxis.'

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable
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