Author’s response to reviews

Title: Precipitating and Relieving Factors of Migraine Versus Tension Type Headache

Authors:

Dr Badrul Haque (bhaque27@gmail.com)
Dr Kazi M Rahman (mohibur_68@yahoo.com)
Dr Azharul Hoque (azharul59@yahoo.com)
Dr ATM H Hasan (parag007us@gmail.com)
Dr Rajib N Chowdhury (rajibchow86@yahoo.com)
Dr sharif U Khan (sharif.911@gmail.com)
Dr Md B Alam (badrul_dmc@yahoo.com)
Prof Mansur Habib (mansur.habib@yahoo.com)
Prof Quazi D Mohammad (nins_dmc@yahoo.com)

Version: 2 Date: 2 August 2012

Author’s response to reviews: see over
To

The Editor

BMC Neurology

Subject: Prayer for submission of revised manuscript

Sir,

It is my pleasure to inform you that I have revised and made all the necessary corrections to my original research article, titled “Precipitating and relieving factors of migraine versus tension type headache” as suggested by the honorable reviewers. All the adjustments made are indicated by Track Change in the manuscript for easy identification for the reviewers. I have attached all the segments of point to point response to revision in the next segment of my cover letter. It would be so kind of you if you take necessary steps to publish the article in the upcoming issue of your journal.

Thanking You

Dr ATM HASIBUL HASAN
MBBS
Medical Officer
Dhaka Medical College Hospital
Email: parag007us@gmail.com
Mobile: +8801763498663.
Point to Point response to the honorable reviewers:

# Reviewer: Rashedul Hassan:

- We could not match the age and sex due to retrograde nature and sex difference in prevalence of these two types of headache disorder. Now this is mentioned as a limitation of the study.

# Reviewer: Mohammad Z Rahman Khan:

- Major compulsory Revision:
  1. In abstract:
     i. Study design is mentioned
     ii. Abbreviation of TTH is given
     iii. P value used to two digits after decimal
  2. Introduction: The line at page 4 is now modified.
  3. Result: All frequency distribution is now given.
  4. Discussion: The lines are now omitted.
  5. Reference writing style is corrected.
  6. Limitation of the study is now incorporated.
  7. Explanation of recall bias is given.
  9. Table-I: corrected
  10. Table-II: corrected.
  11. Table-III: percentage data is given.

- Minor essential revision:
  The structure of sentence is changed as been suggested.