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Reviewer’s report:

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined?
   Yes. It is well defined
2. Are the methods appropriate and well described?
   Methods are appropriate
3. Are the data sound?
   No statistical data
4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
   Yes
5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
   The subject addressed is well presented without any bias
6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated?
   Yes
7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished?
   Yes
8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found?
   Yes
9. Is the writing acceptable?
   Yes
   This paper constitutes a view of what will be a standard presurgical planning in the future. It is well designed and it will be consulted.
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