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Reviewer’s report:

Minor essential revisions

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined? Yes
2. Are the methods appropriate and well described? Yes
3. Are the data sound? Yes
4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition? Yes
5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data? Yes
6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated? Yes
7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished? Not in general
8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found? Yes
9. Is the writing acceptable? Not in general

Comments

I recommend minor essential revisions because there was not an equality in citation of references (according to the volumen, number of corresponding notebooks, number of pages as well as marking of paper as the shortcut or full name). In the Table 1 it is necessary to change the year (see also heading Results) and country for Eftekhar et al. and name of the country for El Khamlchi et al.

Page 12 has new sentence that needs revision. Hypoplasia instead of Hyoplasia.