Reviewer’s report

Title: Perceived wellbeing of patients one year post stroke in general practice - Recommendations for quality aftercare

Version: 3 Date: 16 November 2010

Reviewer: Jorunn Drageset

Reviewer’s report:

Thank you for all the detailed revisions. This is a much improved manuscript. Below are some very minor issues wording/typo issues.

Section Patients and methods p 6, 1. paragraph last sentence change to:
“The MEC (medical ethicalcommittees) of the Martini Hospital has approved this study (17-01-2008)”.

P 6 last sentence change to:” Patients were interviewed in person by a trained medical practitioner and standardized questionnaires were administered”

Section Results p 9. The response rate was 57 (see Figure 1). Include the percent.

Second paragraph in the same section: The mean age of the study population was 77. Include years.

Last sentence “A quarter of the patients had a history of having had a TIA/stroke and a quarter had had ischemic” Remove had.

Tables Table 1. Change to: “mild cognitive impairment”

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
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