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Reviewer's report:

The manuscript has improved substantially in the revised draft. There are only a few problems left that should be corrected prior to publication:

P6/statistical analysis: age < 40 vs. # 40 – here should be mentioned that the authors actually compared the younger half with the older half of patients.

P7/Figure 1: please compare with figure legend numbering on pp 18 and 19 – this should be consistent (the legend for Figure 1 is written under Figure 3).

P12/“Contradicting this…” Probably the authors mean something different, such as “in contrast” or “on the contrary”? The worsening of one group of patients does not contradict the improvement of the other group.

P12/Same sentence spelling error: “the remaining patient”.

P13 “regarding our primary outcome cirterion”

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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