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Reviewer's report:

This manuscript is now much improved, with much of the previous ambiguity now removed. I think the authors have done a good job of responding to my original comments. I do still have a few, more minor issues, but I would hope these are relatively straightforward for the authors to address. All are Minor Essential Revisions

1. In the results section titled "Headache Features of HAMP" the authors provide a long list of what % of participants experienced headaches during MP use. Much of this information is already presented in the Figures, and so does not require repeating here.

2. In the discussion, the description of the nocebo effect was bit long - particularly as the paper doesn't really have any data relating to it. I would suggest shortening this a little. All that is required is to acknowledge the effect exists, and might account for the association between headaches and use of a MP.

3. I know I asked the authors to comment on the single participant who experienced a headache from her landline phone, but I fear the authors have now gone too far in the other direction by devoting too much of the discussion to this single participant. This now reads a little like a case study. I would suggest shortening it a bit.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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