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Reviewer's report:

Major Cursory Revisions
1- In the conclusion part it is stated that ID-M was a valid screening tool among adolescents. However the mean age of the study population was 19. How many participants were under 18?

2- Related to the first criticism if the number of the subjects under 18 is high so the prevalence rates should be compared to the other studies in two main groups; adolescents and adults.

3- A flow chart would be much more clear to follow the steps of the study.

4- In the discussion part study limitations were not discussed.

5- The number of participants according to the age groups would be useful for readers.

6- The authors stated that there was not a significant difference in prevalence among age groups. Is this because the majority of the participants were in the 20-30 age group? This should be clarified.

Minor Essential Revisions
1- The prevalence rates can be given according to the age and gender in a separate table.
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