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Author’s response to reviews: see over
Dear Angelina,

Thank you for your e-mail, detailing the comments from the reviewers. We thank the reviewers for their helpful and constructive comments and respond to their comments in turn (our responses are bolded).

Reviewer 1

Minor essential revision:

As I am still convinced that the missing information (abortion, stillbirth etc.) is crucial I would suggest that the word "preliminary" should appear somewhere in the main text (e.g. Conclusion paragraph) and in the Abstract. In the Abstract the authors should make clear to the reader that the information in object is missing.

We have added the following into the conclusion “However our study is a preliminary investigation as we did not have detailed data on congenital anomalies or maternal birth history” and into the abstract “However, we did not have complete data on types and severity of congenital anomalies or on maternal birth history and thus this study should be regarded as preliminary.”

We would be grateful if the Journal would reconsider the revised manuscript addressing the reviewers’ comments. Thank you very much for your consideration.

We look forward to hearing from you,

Yours sincerely,

Angelina Ilievska
Assistant Editor
BMC Neurology
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