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Response to Editorial comments

Dear Editorial Board of BMC Nephrology,

We are very excited to have reached this stage in the editorial process. We have made changes to the manuscript as listed below.

1. Please move the following sentence from the discussion to the result section titled Discharge outcomes of patients with AKI: "Sixty-nine percent (9/13) of the AKI patients who died were HIV positive with CD4 count ranges from 8-361. HIV-infected patients with sepsis were more likely to have AKI (59%)."

   The sentence has been removed from the discussion and moved to the results section under the heading, “Discharge outcomes of patients with AKI”, and now reads “HIV-infected patients with sepsis were more likely to have AKI (59%) and sixty-nine percent (9/13) of the AKI patients who died were HIV positive with CD4 count ranges from 8-361.”

2. On page 8: remove (RIFLE) placed in the following text: End-stage (RIFLE) renal disease.

   (RIFLE) has been deleted on page 8 and the sentence now reads “The majority of patients who died had AKIN stage 3 (92%) which is comparable to other studies in which AKI staging using the AKIN or Risk, Injury, Failure, Loss, End-stage renal disease criteria was a predictor of outcome.”

3. Please clarify, Table 1 shows the distribution of cases by RIFLE criteria but in the text the authors describe the AKIN criteria. So, which AKI classification was used in the study?

   AKIN criteria was used. The error in the Table 1 has been corrected, the heading now reads “AKIN Classification”.

4. The authors named table 2: "Multivariate table ...). In fact, they adjusted only for age. Please clarify
The Title for this table has been changed to reflect the meaning of the table and now reads “Age adjusted odds ratios”

Again we thank you very much for taking time to reviewing our work and we look forward to hearing from soon.