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Reviewer's report:

The manuscript is much improved. A few thoughts.

Minor Essential Revisions
1. The information about the camps is helpful. I think the reader still needs to know how/why the participants came to the camps in order to evaluate the prevalence in context. Were they high-risk subjects who were recruited due to their risk? Or were they presenting for other health problems? Were they subjects who would not have access to health care in any other setting?

Discretionary Revisions
1. The introduction is much cleaner now and sets up the study question well. The paragraphs could be consolidated (i.e., no single-sentence paragraphs).
2. The results in the first paragraph should appear in the figures/tables (maybe Table 2). Some readers don't read the text and you don't want the overall prevalence and average BP to be missed.
3. I still think readers may want to see results adjusted for eGFR instead of creatinine, perhaps just as a sensitivity analysis reported in text. I can't imagine that if creatinine is removed that eGFR would still be collinear with the other variables (since it is not a linear equation).
4. Table 3---be sure to define referent groups, it's not always clear.
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