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Reviewer's report:

1. The research question posed by the authors is easily identifiable and understood. It is useful and important for Chinese population. The study has given a clear conclusion.
2. Authors have well described the methods giving sufficient details to allow others to evaluate.
3. The Data is sound.
4. The manuscript has followed the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition.
5. The interpretation discussed the relevance of all the results in an unbiased manner. Conclusions drawn from the study are valid. Authors have provided necessary references.
6. The limitations of the work clearly stated.
7. Authors have acknowledged the work both published and unpublished upon which they have based their work.
8. The title and abstract accurately convey what has been found.
9. Data is presented in an appropriate manner. One minor correction would be for Table no 1, 4th line from bottom, column 2, the word “not” is not clear.
   Quality of written English is acceptable.
10. The strengths and weaknesses of the methods:
    Convergent validity and Reliability have been shown and the results look good. However, proving discriminant validity by showing association of Quality of life variables with clinical parameters, the quality of the results can further be enhanced. Glomerulonephritis and or number of days on dialysis can be used to show this association. This can be considered as a Major revision.