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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear editor,
Thank you for recommending the acceptance of our manuscript: “Determining the Validity and Reliability of the Chinese Version of the Kidney Disease Quality of Life Questionnaire (KDQOL-36TM)” for publication. We appreciate your detailed review of the manuscript.

Below we explain each of the issues raised by the editor and how we have incorporated the responses into the manuscript.

Editorial requests
1. Ethical approval
   Please include the full names of the ethical committees from which you obtained ethical approval within the corresponding statement in the Methods.
   Response: The full name of the ethical committee has been included in the Methods, P5.

2. Acknowledgements
   Please include the source(s) of funding for each author, and for the manuscript preparation. Authors must describe the role of the funding body, if any, in design, in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.
   Response: This research received no specific funding. A declaration was included in the Acknowledges, P15.