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Dear Dr. Hayley Henderson,

Re: Serum levels and renal deposition of C1q complement component and its antibodies reflect disease activity of lupus nephritis

MS: 6754618758207509

Thank you very much for your consideration. We had carefully revised our manuscript in accordance with the editors’ and reviewers’ comments. Briefly speaking, the revision included the following issues:

1. The number of patients still followed up was given below the graph in Figure 3 in the revised manuscript.
2. The manuscript has been revised with the assistance of a native English speaker and an experienced editor of English journals.

Separate point-by-point answers to the reviewers are attached. We would like to submit the revised version of the above manuscript to your journal and hope that it will be suitable for publication.

Yours sincerely,

Ying Tan, Di Song, Li-hua Wu, Feng YU, Ming-hui ZHAO
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Answers to Reviewer #1

1. Concerning the Kaplan-Meier curves of renal survival and relapse-free renal survival (Figure 3), the number of patients still followed up should be found below the graph.

Answer: Thank you for your comments. The number of patients still followed up was given below the graph in Figure 3 in the revised manuscript.
Answer to Reviewer #2

1. *The article will be suitable for publication after the correction of spelling mistakes.*

Answer: Thank you for your suggestion. The manuscript has been revised with the assistance of a native English speaker and an experienced editor of English journals.