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Reviewer's report:

I don't have the specific changes made in this revision
these need to be provided as opposed to a general discussion by the authors
the conclusion needs to be rewritten
something along the lines of the current quality indicators need further study to
decide on which ones make a clinical difference and whether they are worth the
financial cost of using them in chronic dialysis, like hemo diafiltration vs
conventional hemodialysis or PD
also the difficulties of evaluating the effects of multiple quality indicators
simultaneously on outcomes needs to be mentioned with a suggestion on how to
approach this in future studies

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.