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Reviewer's report:

General

1. This is an area of importance
2. The chosen methodology would seem reasonable and appropriate
3. The weaknesses in the methodology (notably the size of the stakeholder group, such that one topic made the final list on the basis of a single submission) are recognised and acknowledged

Specific

Major Compulsory Revisions - None

Minor Essential Revisions

1. The authors should provide some justification of their decision to restrict the scope to patients with CKD 1-4

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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