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**Reviewer’s report:**

The authors have responded to all of the important concerns raised by the reviewers. They have added language addressing the methodological issues (the fact that the modules were compulsory in some programs, elective in others; the fact that the authors did not have linkage of scores and satisfaction data, etc).

The discussion is improved and more relevant references added.

The analysis is valuable, particularly given the increasing popularity of online modules for physician education.
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**Quality of written English:** Acceptable
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