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Cover letter

Dear Editor board of BMC Medical Genetics,

We would like to submit the enclosed manuscript entitled "Lack of association between Fas -670A/G polymorphism and risk of cervical cancer: an update by meta-analysis based on 3953 subjects", which we wish to be considered for publication in BMC Medical Genetics. All co-authors have seen and agreed with the contents of the manuscript and there is no financial interest to report. We certify that the submission is original work and is not under review at any other publication.

In this manuscript, we report the results of the first study which focus on the relationship between Fas-670A/G polymorphism and cervical cancer. Indeed, we genotyped the Fas-670A/G polymorphism in 1856 cervical cancer cases and 2097 normal controls, and then performed meta-analysis to explore whether Fas-670A/G polymorphism is associated with cervical cancer susceptibility. The results from our study did not reveal any association of the Fas-670A/G polymorphism with cervical cancer.

We believed that our findings could be of interest to the readers of BMC Medical Genetics because they bring new and strong evidence that there is a lack of association of the Fas-670A/G polymorphisms with cervical cancer. We hope that the editorial board will agree on the interest of this study.

Sincerely yours,

Wuning Mo, on behalf of the authors
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